

### Sh. Trilochan Singh, (9463658200)

S/o Late.Sh. Gurbachan Singh, R/o 848 A, M.I.G.,Jamalpur, Ludhiana. Versus

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

Public Information Officer O/o Principal Secretary, Information and Public Relation Department, Punjab, Punjab Civil Sectt. Chandigarh

### **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Principal Secretary, Information and Public Relation Department, Punjab, Punjab Civil Sectt. Chandigarh.

# Appeal Case No. 1719 of 2020

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: None

### <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. To be read in the continuity of the previous order dated 30.03.2021.
- 2. Both parties are absent without any intimation to the commission.
- 3. In the view of above, another opportunity is given to both the parties to present the case on next date of hearing positively, falling to which the case would be decided on merit basis.
- In addition, the direction is given to respondent PIO, to file a reply / supply information before the next date of hearing. Failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI act will be initiated.
- 5. To come up on 28.06.2021 at 10:30 through Cisco Webex VC. {Steps: Install Cisco Webex < Click on Join Meeting < Write Meeting No. – 1581884645 }

<u>NOTE:</u> All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as: Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005, Signature of the PIO, Name of the Public Authority, Date.

Chandigarh Dated: 12.05.2021 Sd/-(Maninder Singh Patti) State Information Commissioner



**Sh. Jagshir Singh, (8195800345)** S/o Sh. Gian Singh, #9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

Versus Public Information Officer O/o SSP (Rural), Amritsar. First Appellate Authority O/o IGP, Border Range,

# Appeal Case No. 1747 of 2020 (Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jagshir Singh Respondent: Sh. Harpal Singh (ASI), 97800116624

# <u>ORDER</u>

Amritsar.

- 1. To be read in the continuity of the previous order dated 24.03.2021.
- 2. Appellant, Sh. Jagshir Singh stated that no information has been supplied so far.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Harpal Singh appeared clueless in respect of the nuances of the RTI Act and again appeared without proper explanation for non compliance of the order of the Commission dated 24.03.2021.
- 4. A strict warning is issued to the SSP (Rural) for not providing any reply to the appellant within the timeline prescribed under the Act. The PIO is warned to be careful with the timeline and refrain from delaying replies. The information sought by the appellant is specific and should be replied to categorically. The PIO is directed to provide a point wise reply, to the Appellant within 10 days from the date of receipt of this order.

A compliance report to this effect shall be sent to the Commission by the PIO.

- 5. The respondent SSP (by name), Amritsar is directed to appear in person on next date of hearing to represent this case and to clear the facts of this case.
- To come up on 30.06.2021 at 10:30 through Cisco Webex VC.
  {Steps: Install Cisco Webex < Click on Join Meeting < Write Meeting No. 1581884645 }</li>

<u>NOTE:</u> All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as: Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005, Signature of the PIO, Name of the Public Authority, Date.

Sd/-(Maninder Singh Patti) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh Dated: 12.05.2021



Sh. D.R. Singla, (7081079234) # 4961, Sector 38 West, Chandigarh.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Nagar Council, Kharar, Distt. S.A.S Nagar(Mohali). .....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

**Public Information Officer** O/o Tehsildar, Kharar, Distt. S.A.S Nagar(Mohali).

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Director,Local Govt.Department, Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 1726 of 2020 (Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant: Sh. D.R. Singla Respondent: Sh. Sangeet Kumar (EO), 9626060901 Sh. Santokh Singh (Reader to Tehsildar), 8146051116

## <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. To be read in the continuity of the previous order dated 24.03.2021.
- 2. Appellant, Sh. D.R. Singla stated that no information has been supplied so far by the respondent authority.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Sangeet Kumar submitted that he has only supplied the information which was related to his office i.e. Nagar Council, Kharar and for the remaining part of the information the RTI application was forwarded to O/o Tehsildar, Kharar. Respondent 2. Sh. Santokh Singh from Tehsildar, Kharar assured that he will supply the requisite information before the next date of hearing.
- 4. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties, the Commission while expressing its deep displeasure over the casual manner in dealing with the current RTI application, directs the Tehsildar, Kharar, to appoint a responsible officer of an appropriate seniority to examine the RTI application and furnish a clear, cogent and precise reply to the Appellant as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of this order failing which penal action would be initiated in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

### Appeal Case No. 1726 of 2020 (Cisco Webex Proceedings)

- 1. In case the partial information is not available in the office record then an undertaking/affidavit may be filled in this regard also to the appellant with a copy to the Commission therein mentioning the reasons for its non-availability.
- To come up on 30.06.2021 at 10:30 through Cisco Webex VC.
  {Steps: Install Cisco Webex < Click on Join Meeting < Write Meeting No. 1581884645 }</li>

<u>NOTE:</u> All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as: Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005, Signature of the PIO, Name of the PIO, Name of the Public Authority, Date.

Chandigarh Dated: 12.05.2021 Sd/-(Maninder Singh Patti) State Information Commissioner

Versus



#### Sh. Ravi Kant, (9646500396)

S/o Sh. Sansari Lal, R/o Krishan Bazaar, Ward No 4, Dhariwal, District Gurdaspur.

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

#### **Public Information Officer** O/o EO, Nagar Council, Dhariwal, District Gurdaspur.

### First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Director, Local Govt, Amritsar.

#### Appeal Case No. 131 of 2021 (Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant: Sh. Ravi Kant Respondent: Ms. Kiran Mahajan (Accountant), 9646500379

# <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. To be read in the continuity of the previous order dated 30.03.2021.
- 2. Respondent, Ms. Kiran Mahajan stated that sought information has been supplied to the appellant through post dated 05.05.2021.
- 3. Appellant, Sh. Ravi Kant stated that no information has been receiveed so far.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it is revealed that, once the appellant receives the information supplied by the respondent authority, he is advised to **point out discrepancies**(in written form) if any in the information so supplied by the respondent, within 10 days from the issue of this order under intimation to the commission. The respondent shall make good the deficiencies, in case the same pointed out by the appellant.

Another opportunity is given to both the parties to present the case on next date of hearing positively, falling to which the case would be decided on merit basis.

- 5. However, the PIO is directed to furnish an affidavit, in case the partial information is not available in the office record. An undertaking/affidavit may be filled in this regard to the appellant with a copy to the Commission therein mentioning the reasons for its non-availability.
- To come up on 30.06.2021 at 10:30 through Cisco Webex VC.
  {Steps: Install Cisco Webex < Click on Join Meeting < Write Meeting No. 1581884645 }</li>

<u>NOTE:</u> All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as: Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005, Signature of the PIO, Name of the PIO, Name of the Public Authority, Date.

Sd/-(Maninder Singh Patti) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh Dated: 12.05.2021



### Sh. Gaganpreet Singh Advocate, (9814098153)

Chamber No 8039, 8th Floor, New Court Complex, Ludhiana.

Versus

### Public Information Officer

O/o Dayanand Medical College & Hospital, Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority** 

O/o Chief Incharge, Dayanand Medical College & Hospital, Ludhiana.

### Appeal Case No. 3984 of 2020

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Abhishek Pal Singh (Law Asst.), 7696694454

### <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. To be read in the continuity of the previous order dated 30.03.2021 vide which both the parties has requested for adjournment and accordingly the matter was adjourned for 12.05.2021 i.e. today.
- 2. Appellant, Sh. Gaganpreet Singh is absent without any intimation to the commission.
- 3. Respondent's representative, Sh. Abhishek Pal Singh again has requested for adjournment.
- 4. This Commission offers a final opportunity to both parties to represent this case on next date of hearing with the directions to the respondent PIO to furnish the information requested by the appellant.
- To come up on 30.06.2021 at 10:30 through Cisco Webex VC.
  {Steps: Install Cisco Webex < Click on Join Meeting< Write Meeting No. 1581884645 }</li>

<u>NOTE:</u> All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as: Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005, Signature of the PIO, Name of the PIO, Name of the PIO, Name of the Public Authority, Date.

Chandigarh Dated: 12.05.2021 Sd/-(Maninder Singh Patti) State Information Commissioner

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent



### Sh. Rajinderpal Singh, (8968665852)

# 3495, Phase2, MIG Flats, Urban Estate , Dugri , Model Town, Ludhiana.

Versus

#### Public Information Officer

O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.

### **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.

### Appeal Case No. 461 of 2021

Present: Appellant: Sh. Rajinderpal Singh Respondent: Sh. Ramesh Kumar (ASI), 9915603000

### <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. To be read in the continuity of the previous order dated 12.04.2021.
- 2. Appellant, Sh. Rajinderpal Singh requested for an adjournment as his as his advocate is not present today.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Ramesh Kumar pleaded that he has supplied the sought information to the appellant through registered post.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and perusal of the records placed in the case file, the appellant is offered a last opportunity to present his case on next date of hearing. In addition, he is advised/ ordered to point out to point out discrepancies(in written form), in the information so supplied by the respondent, if any,within 10 days from the issue of this order under intimation to the commission. The respondent shall make good the deficiencies, if the same be pointed out by the appellant. In case the partial information is not available in the office record then an undertaking/affidavit may be filled in this regard to the appellant with a copy to the Commission without fail.
- To come up on 30.06.2021 at 10:30 through Cisco Webex VC.
  {Steps: Install Cisco Webex < Click on Join Meeting< Write Meeting No. 1581884645 }</li>

<u>NOTE:</u> All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as: Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005, Signature of the PIO, Name of the PIO, Name of the Public Authority, Date.

Chandigarh Dated: 12.05.2021 Sd/-(Maninder Singh Patti) State Information Commissioner

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent